

most of his books.



Yas Chaudhry

21:02

OK thanks for providing your evidences of how you derived the conclusions you presented in the misleading snippet of a video presented by the hanafi fiqh channel...

I ask Allah to keep everyones intentions honest and sincere free from partisanship and only for the search for the truth and to please Allah alone.

A response is being prepared by brother Abdul Baseer Khan (owner of LifewithAllah youtube channel).

Brother Ali Hasan Khan (<http://www.umm-ul-qura.org>) has also notified me that he will respond to your claims if and when he gets a chance probably August InshaAllah. These brothers are students of knowledge and better versed in the area as they also have access to historical books etc

umm ul qura | www.umm-ul-qura.org

www.umm-ul-qura.org

Umm-ul-Qura Publications

Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

+ New Message

* Actions ▾



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

📱 23:43

Hasan Ali khan refuted my talk on "understanding where Allah is " and made all sorts of false accusations about belief in wahdatul wujood. He went on almost an hour falsely accusing us that we believe " Allah is in everything" when I did not even mention the word or concept of wahdatul wujood even once. If you rely on such people , then Allah protect and guide us .



Yas Chaudhry

📍 23:49

What do you mean if I rely on such people? Hasan Ali Khan did not make no false accusations, his talk is based on references - produce your proof if your truthful please...



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

📱 23:56

I will send you another email why these ahle hadeeth ghair muqallids are mini-shias.

Salafi imam of Green Lane masjid said ,"I know things and I

Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

+ New Message

⚙ Actions ▾



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

🕒 23:56

I will send you another email why these ahle hadeeth ghair muqallids are mini-shias.
Salafi imam of Green Lane masjid said , "I know things and I read things about Abu Bakr As-siddiq which don't allow me to follow him blindly. ..." this is on youtube. Even other salafis were outraged by this statement. .This is a statement made with shia germs.
Allamah Waheeduzzamaan , sn ahle hadeeth scholar has written a book entitled "lughaatul-hadeeth". In Vol 4 on the section on " noon" , This Ahle hadeeth ghair muqallid grand scholar of e ahke-hadees has written .
" Abdullah ibn Umar used to opwn his fast with sexual intercourse and in Ramadhan between maghrib and isha he had intercourse with three maidservants."
In Ramadhan even ordinary people are more concerned for dhikr of Allah , but this ahle hadees Allamah is making one of the most renowned knowledgeable and most respected amongst sahaabah as a naodhubillah ,"sex maniac ". Again germs from shiaism.



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

🕒 23:57

Above incident is mentioned on p 142 of vol 4 of lughaatul hadees section on noon



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

🕒 00:04

Present a single reference from any scholar of Deoband which gives the same meaning to wahdatul wujud as he has claimed that "Allah is in Everything".



Yas Chaudhry

🕒 00:09

Ok you have raised a valid point and you will get your response InshaAllah. do you believe in wahdatul wajood, what is your definition of wahdatul wajood, please clarify for me...



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

🕒 00:11

Ulema of Deoband do "Not " define wahdatul wujud in the manner that Hasan Ali khan says it to be . If he doesn't understand what wahdatul wujud means then he should not address the issue.



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

00:

Ulema of Deoband do "Not " define wahdatul wujood in the manner that Hasan Ali khan says it to be . If he doesn't understand what wahdatul wujood means then he should not address the issue.

Hindus believe that God is "in" everything so they worship everything from rocks to snakes to trees. If Wahdatul wujood had the meaning he is giving and if the ulema of Deoband took it mean that way then those ulema would have also worshipped other things other than Allah but that is not the case Alhamdolillah . So it clearly shows that Hasan Ali khan wants to impose his own false understanding of an issue he doesn't even understand upon the pious , knowledgeable scholars of Deoband .



Yas Chaudhry

00:26

Have you seen abu usamahs response - one should be just. secondly everyones words can be accepted or rejected apart from Muhammad salahualaihiwasalam. to say otherwise is the way of the shias who say the household of the Muhammad salahualaihiwasalam is also masoom and their imams. Be just and fair. what do you and ulama e deoband define wahdatul wajood as and what's your precedence for such a definition. Your talk was deficient from any authentic references you see with all due respect



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

00:32

Scholars of Deoband do not treat nor regard wahdatul wujood as an article of faith or aqeedah or a matter of belief. Hence you will not find it discussed or taught as a matter of aqeedah. Rather it is a realization or awareness, as a person becomes



Scholars of Deoband do not treat nor regard wahdatul wujud as an article of faith or aqeedah or a matter of belief. Hence you will not find it discussed or taught as a matter of aqeedah.

Rather it is a realisation or awareness, as a person becomes close to Allah as has been mentioned in a hadeeth Qudsi reported by imam Bukhari that

" when Allah loves a person, he becomes his ears with which he listens , his eyes with which he sees , his hands with which he holds and "HIS LEGS " with which he walks ".

Does any muslim in his right frame of mind and iman take this hadeeth literally and regard Allah to be somebody's legs...well perhaps salafis do....well it is mentioned in a saheeh hadeeth reported by imam Bukhari.

Just as you will say that it means as the scholars have explained that "all aspects of a person become according to the pleasure of Allah and NOT that physically and really Allah becomes his legs.

Similarly wahdatul wujud is a realisation whereby when a person is close to Allah then nothing else seems to be important or significant except Allah. This is similar to someone in love with someone , that although there are other people but to a person in love truly with someone , no one really matters but his beloved. This is why the mashaikh have clearly stated that unless a person is on that level he should not refer to their

to a person in love truly with someone , no one really matters but his beloved. This is why the mashaikh have clearly stated that unless a person is on that level he should not refer to their terminologies. This is also similar to someone trying to explain to a minor child the pleasures of being grown up. He simply will not understand but once he grows up he will realise automatically even without anyone telling him anything. And if you tell him these things when he is young and immature then you will only corrupt his innocent mind. Similarly people like Hasan Ali khan and other salafis accusing the pious mashaikh of Deoband of believing in something they dont understand themselves.

**Sheikh Mumtaz Ul Haq**

Abu usamah said clearly

"I KNOW THINGS and I read things about Abu Bakr As-Siddeeq

00:36



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

00:38

Abu usamah said clearly

"I KNOW THINGS and I read things about Abu Bakr As-Siddeeq which don't allow me to follow him blindly ,"

I ask. What is it about Abu Bakr that he knows and read which is against Quran and sunnah.."

Here you are justifying and defending his accusation on the most pious , noble and knowledgeable man of this ummah.

Even other salafis have picked up on this and criticised him for this remark. I saw a refutation of this by another salafi sometime ago.



Yas Chaudhry

00:39

Ok - the comment "perhaps the Salafi's do believe in Allah being somebody's legs" is an unfair comment and seems like a cheapshot - you know very well no Salafi likens Allah with his creation. Keep it clean Sheikh. so am I right in saying ulama deoband believe Allah is not separate from his creation



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

00:44

No you are not ! They believe Allah IS separate to his creation and not "In" his creation. Try to understand what I have said . The same meaning as Rasoolullah when he said , "Allah says " I become his ears ...eyes ...hands ...legs". What does this

Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

+ New Message

* Actions



cheapshot - you know very well no Salafi likens Allah with his creation. Keep it clean Sheikh. so am I right in saying ulama deoband believe Allah is not separate from his creation



Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq

00:44

No you are not ! They believe Allah IS separate to his creation and not "In" his creation. Try to understand what I have said . The same meaning as Rasoolullah when he said , "Allah says " I become his ears ...eyes ...hands ...legs". What does this mean..?"

Is it a cheapshot ...salafis like taking things literallyand accuse others of interpretations

Isnt that what they will do themselves in this saheeh undisputed hadeeth.



Yas Chaudhry

00:49

Your answer is there - a Salafi refuting a fellow Salafi.

Everyones words can be accepted or rejected. I am not defending him at all. you can say his choice of words were poor, but you can't liken him to Shia. you would have to liken the those that have slandered abu hurairah and anas bin Malik as shias but we will come to that. We will come to waheed uz zamaan also InshaAllah

Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq**+ New Message** * Actions ▾ 🔍**Yas Chaudhry**

00:55

I'm trying to figure out how your logic and argument is constructed - so you are justifying your the concept of wahdatul wajood as what you said through the Hadith? is that your only justification or you have explicit statements from the Salaf also?

* Hadith you mentioned above it should read

**Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq**

00:57

Hasan Ali Khan said in that refutation. "Allah is SITTING on the Arsh." Ask him that is what it means from ANY saheeh hadeeth.

Do you believe Allah to be upon the Arsh "bidhaatihi " I.e in person physically since Hasan Ali Khan said , Allah is sitting on the Arsh."

Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq**+ New Message** * Actions ▾ 🔍**Yas Chaudhry**

01:11

Why don't you discuss on a public platform then - he invited you (I heard from a third party) and you declined.

Ali Hassan Khan did not liken Allah subhanwataAllah to his creation and nor is that his creed. His understanding is the same as the salafs including the imams. He proved his beliefs from authentic sources and books of the salaf including those you agree to be our imams. if any Salafi deviates from that the refutations will come thick and fast from within Salafis themselves. that is already been shown.

**Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq**

01:12

I only asked for daleel from Quran or hadeeth.

Is that too much to ask.

For a matter of aqeedah .

**Sheikh Mumtaz UI Haq**

01:20

Oh yes many of the early senior and founding scholars of ahle hadeeth of India regarded and accepted wahdatul wujood as "Haq" perhaps Hasan Ali Khan and yourself along with Abdul Baseer Khan should refute nd condemn them as well since they have also made similar statements about wahdatul wujood. Nawab Sadeeq Hasan Khan has written in favour of wahdatul wujood. He was probably the most influential of all ahle hadees scholars.

**Yas Chaudhry**

01:23

No its not too much to ask. Noone believes Allah is sitting on his throne like we sit Astagfirullah. Let me see where he said that, can you recall which quarter of the video it was in. Allah is above his throne however it befits his majesty. Anyways let me know. Also for a matter of Aqeedah discuss openly - no point debating qadianis there is iimah they are kafir



Yas Chaudhry

📍 01:23

No its not too much to ask. Noone believes Allah is sitting on his throne like we sit Astagfirullah. Let me see where he said that, can you recall which quarter of the video it was in. Allah is above his throne however it befits his majesty. Anyways let me know. Also for a matter of Aqeedah discuss openly - no point debating qadianis there is ijmah they are kafir.



Sheikh Mumtaz Ul Haq

📱 02:29

But the elders and founding members of ahle hadeeth supported the founder of Qadianism.

Anyway Hasan Ali khan ckearly said , " Allah is sitting on the Arsh,"

Do you believe Allah to be upon the Arsh "bidhaatihi " I.e in person physically since Hasan Ali Khan said , Allah is sitting on the Arsh."

📱 Sent from Mobile